

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,165	02/09/2004	Nobuya Nakagawa	248538US	6760
22850 7.	590 01/11/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			FORD, JOHN K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3753	
			DATE MAIL ED. 01/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Abandonment	10/773,165 Examiner	NAKAGAWA ET AL. Art Unit			
71 4441 100 0477 5111	John K. Ford	3753			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of	Mailing or Transmission dated				
(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does	not constitute a proper reply under 3	7 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 continued Examination (RCE) in	d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		empt at a proper reply, to the non-			
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8	35).				
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory p Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n	ot been received.				
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37).	uired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the Notice of			
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Trai	nsmission dated), which is			
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	signee of the entire interest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	n attorney or agent (acting in a repres	sentative capacity under 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		se the period for seeking court review			
7. The reason(s) below:					
		John K. Pord Primery Exeminer			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	aw the holding of abandonment under 37	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to			

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)